

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/197,441	11/23/98	BEHAGEN		M	1521/1
		,			
Г		WM21/0814	. ¬		EXAMINER ·
SOL SHEINBEIN	!		•	GRANT,	
C/O ANTHONY C	ASTORINA				

SOL SHEINBEIN C/O ANTHONY CASTORINA 2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY SUITE 207 ARLINGTON VA 22202 GRANT, C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2611

DATE MAILED: 08/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/197,441

BEHAGEN et al.

Interview Summary Examiner

Christopher Grant

Group Art Unit 2611



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) Christopher Grant	(3)				
(2) SOL SHEINBEIN	(4)				
Date of Interview Aug 13, 2001	-				
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🔯 No. If yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: None Identification of prior art discussed: NONE					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant inquired about the status of the application, since the statutory period to respond to the Final Office action has expired (i.e. the advisory was mailed 7/31/01). The examiner informed applicant that the application is abandoned. Applicant informed the examiner that the March 12, 2001 date on the advisory is incorrect. The examiner agrees. Applicant's reply was in fact filed February 5, 2001. The advisory mailed 7/31/01 should have indicated that the reply was filed February 5 2001. Applicant informed the examiner that the claims in the PCT were allowed and that the claims					
in the current US application should have been allowed. The examiner informed applicant that the claims in the US					
application is that the newly introduced subject matter raises new issues.					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is				
i) X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).				
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached				

CHRIS GRANT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.